

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/772,591	MADDISON, JOHN R.	
	Examiner Farras Abdelnour	Art Unit 2624	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Farras Abdelnour (examiner).

(3) Paul A. Fournier (REG. 41,023).

(2) Brian Werner (SPE).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 October 2007

**Time:** 2:00PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*35 USC 101 rejection of claim 12.*

**Claims discussed:**

*Claim 12*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*N/A*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner proposed language, if added to claim 12 by amendment so as to overcome 101 rejection, results in allowability. Applicant's representative agreed, changes would be made by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



BRIAN WERNER  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)